	Case 2:06-cv-01267-RSL Docum	nent 5	Filed 02/05/07	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE				
8	EMPLOYEE PAINTERS TRUST	I			
9	HEALTH & WELFARE FUND, et al.,				
10	Plaintiffs,	C	Case No. C06-12	267RSL	
11	V.		ORDER GRANT FOR AN EXTEN		
12	PRO-TEC FIREPROOFING, INC., et al.,		TOR AN EXTENSION		
13	Defendants.				
14					
15	This matter comes before the Court of	n plaint	tiffs' Motion for	Order Granting	
16	This matter comes before the Court on plaintiffs' Motion for Order Granting Plaintiffs Additional Time for Service of Summons (Dkt. #3) and on the Court's January				
1.7	5, 2007 order to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for plaintiffs' failure				
1.0	to serve defendants. Plaintiffs allege that they have tried to serve defendants on several				
10	occasions, but defendants are actively evading service. Plaintiffs request a seven-week				
20	extension of time to serve defendants.				
21	Plaintiffs have shown good cause for an extension. Therefore, their request for a				
22	seven-week extension of time to serve and file proof of service (Dkt. #3) is GRANTED.				
23	The Clerk of the Court is directed to renote the order to show cause (Dkt. #2) on the				
24					
25					
26	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION - 1				

1	Court's calendar for March 30, 2007. ¹			
2				
3	DATED this 5th day of February, 2007.			
4				
5	MMS (asnik) Robert S. Lasnik			
6	Robert S. Lasnik United States District Judge			
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	Plaintiffs note that they plan to serve by publication. Plaintiffs' motion does not request permission to do so, and it does not appear from the record that plaintiffs have			
24	deposited a copy of the summons and complaint "in the post office, directed to the defendant at his place of residence." RCW 4.28 100			
. -	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION - 2			
-	EONAN DATENSION - 2			